EFFECTIVENESS OF DIAGNOSTIC TESTING FOR CERVICAL CANCER AND HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS

M.C. Samperio Calderon José Eduardo/Mtro. Salazar Arturo

Institute Elise Freinet

Av. Tamaulipas 306

Pachuca, Gto, Mexico 42030

771-702-88-01

[dr.eduardosamperio@hotmail.com](mailto:dr.eduardosamperio@hotmail.com)

ABSTRACT

Cervical cancer is one of the first 5 most common neoplasms in women in the world, over time has emerged screening tests for timely diagnosis (conventional cytology, liquid based cytology, visual inspection with acetic acid or Lugol's iodine , colposcopy ...); the tests have been perfected and even new ones have been created that allow the detection of the causative agent (human papilloma virus) such as hybridization and PCR (polymerase chain reaction), each detection tool has different sensitivity and specificity; What led me to this author made this review article through 25 reference citations to find the gold standard of screening today, explaining in a simple way the process that entails its Realization and its historical background.

KEYWORDS

Cervical cancer, human papilloma virus, screening, efficacy.

RESUMEN

El cáncer de cuello uterino es una de las primeras 5 neoplasias más comunes en mujeres en el mundo, derivado de esto a través del tiempo han surgido pruebas de tamizaje para su diagnostico oportuno (citología convencional, citología a base liquida, inspección visual con acido acético o Lugol, colposcopia…); dichas pruebas se han perfeccionado e incluso se han creado nuevas que permiten la detección del agente causal (virus del papiloma humano) como la hibridación y PCR (reacción de cadena de polimerasa), cada herramienta de detección tiene diferente sensibilidad y especificidad; lo que llevo a este autor realizar este articulo de revisión a través de 25 citas de referencia para encontrar el GOLD STANDAR de tamizaje hoy en día, explicando de forma sencilla el proceso que con lleva su realización y sus antecedentes históricos.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Cáncer Cervicouterino, virus del papiloma humano, tamizaje, eficacia.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the seventh most frequent neoplasm in the world population and the fourth most frequent among women with an estimated 528 thousand new cases diagnosed annually, 85% of which are recorded in developing countries. The incidence is higher in developing countries; varies from 42.7 in East Africa, up to 4.4 per 100.000 women in West Asia (Middle East); it is also an important cause of death by a malignant tumor in women with 266.000 deaths per year, 87% of which occur in underdeveloped countries.

In Mexico since 2006 Cervical cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in women. Each year it is estimated an occurrence of 13.960 cases in women, with an incidence of 23.3 cases per 100.000 women. In the year 2014, there were 3.063 new cases of malignant neoplasms of the uterine cervix with an incidence rate of 6.08 per 100.000 inhabitants over the age of 10 years. The distribution of the cases of cancer of the cervix in relation to age, shows an increase from the 35 years, being the group of 50 to 59 years of age who has the highest percentage with about 30% of all cases registered for the year 2014; the average age of presentation of cases of CCU[[1]](#footnote-1) is 49.2 years. The positivity by Human Papilloma Virus for the year 2014 was 1035, the entities that registered the highest rate of positivity were the states of Veracruz, Tabasco and Chiapas with 13.1, 12.5 and 12.1 respectively. The 82.7% of the reported HPV genotypes[[2]](#footnote-2) correspond to other HPV, a 18.81% to HPV16 and a 3.6% to HPV 18, the rest are due to coinfection of these genotypes. In relation to the histological classification, 46% of the CCU registered, correspond to invasive squamous cell carcinoma, a 23% to microinvasive squamous cell carcinoma, a 10% to endocervical adenocarcinoma in situ, a 6% to  invasive endocervical adenocarcinoma, the rest are associated with other histologic types. In relation to the classification by clinical stages of detection of the CCU, the 23.27% correspond to carcinoma in situ, the 25.51% to stages, 16.61% to intermediate stages, a 30.8% to late stages and the 3.79% of cases of CCU tumors are not classifiable. (1)

With regard to the cervical cancer mortality rates in the state of Hidalgo, in the year 2000 there were 75 deaths (9.3 x 100.000 women), in 2001 (11.2) 94, 60 in 2002 (7.1), in 2003 79 (8.6), (9.0) 200485 and 200594 (9.6).(2)

HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF THE SCREENING TESTS FOR CCU AND HPV

In 1914 Dr. George Nicholas Papanicolaou performs Pap smears to guinea pigs of the menstrual cycle (technical). For 1927 the doctor Aurel Babes (1886-1962) presented his work on the diagnosis of cancer of the cervix using smears, which he published in 1928.

The researcher Hans Peter Hinselmann invents the colposcope, which I use for the first time in December 1924; through the "acetic acid test". Walter Schiller (1887-1960) In 1928 the test of Lugol's iodine (Schiller improved the technique of scraping with the help of  sharp curettes to obtain samples from suspicious areas colposcopicamente, allowing the histological analysis, for what can be considered the precursor of the PAP TEST. The German Karl August Bolten (1912-1972) came to this country as a student in 1953 and developed a school of colposcopy. George Papanicolaou continued working and in 1940 the "perfect the technique PAP" (take-fixation-staining-nomenclature). Dr.  Harald zur Hausen proposed in 1975, that the human papilloma virus (HPV) was the etiologic agent of cervical cancer. As for the decade of the 1980s when Guissmann Lutz published the first sequences isolated from HPV warts : HPV-6 and HPV-11; used as hybridization probes for the 16 and 18 subsequently. (3)

At present, the tendency of numerous research groups is to evaluate the possibility of using different molecular techniques for the detection of HPV as a tool in the primary screening of cervical cancer and dysplasia. Despite this, it is important that the techniques applied have a great sensitivity and specificity, in addition to a good reproducibility and negative predictive value, to consider and implement them in the optimal way for the detection of HPV in clinical practice.

The evidence of systematic diagnosis of HPV infection can be classified in visual (colposcopy), microscopic (cytology) and molecular techniques (PCR, hybridization, etc.). The colposcopy is a procedure exploratory instrumented to observe the condition of the epithelium, which are based on the identification of abnormal tissue by a whiteout when applying acetic acid or Lugol's solution.(4)


BODY

 CONVENTIONAL CERVICAL CYTOLOGY/PAP

 A screening test for the early detection of cervical cancer, consists of obtaining cells from the endocervix and Ectocervix, its extended on the slide, the implementation of the Papanicolaou staining technique and the observation of the sample under a microscope to interpret the changes or alterations in the morphology of the cells. The early detection of cervical cancer by cervical cytology (described by George Papanicolaou in 1943) in the past 50 years has reduced mortality from invasive cancer of the cervix at the global level. (5)

It has a is(6) While thesensitivity of cytology has been reported from 32.4 to 90%, and may not be detected high-grade neoplasia or cancer in more than 35%; produces false negatives of 5 to 35%, and pose a defeat to the timely detection because avanzadamente could be diagnosed later. For this screening is highly sensitive and specific it is necessary that the cytological sample contains cells of the ectocervix and endocervix, that these are appropriately extended in the glovebox and secured correctly, in addition to the adequate implementation of the Papanicolaou staining technique and proper interpretation of the cellular morphological changes (7). There are 3 ways to take the cytology, see the following picture:

Royalty 1. Techniques to extend the ectocervical lesion material.



Source: RAMOS G. Cervical cytology satisfactory, extended ectocervical circular compared with longitudinal. Rev Mex Inst Mex Insurance Soc. 2014; 52(6).

There are criteria for evaluating  the quality of the sample, the Bethesda system, incorporated into the Official Mexican Norm NOM-014-SSA2-1994, use the following categories: [[3]](#footnote-3)[[4]](#footnote-4)

• Satisfactory for evaluation (with the specification of whether or not there are elements of the transformation zone).

• Unsatisfactory for evaluation (when the specimen is not identified, the foil is broken or there are factors that impede its interpretation, such as blood or inflammation).

This report sets out the minimum criteria of cellularity and visibility, both glandular as flaky, and stresses the importance of endocervical cells or transformation zone as the primary indicator for the quality of the sample. With this in another range the cellular elements of the exocervix (squamous cell carcinoma), since these are not eligible to decide if the sample is satisfactory for evaluation and refers only to quality indicators, including the minimum cellularity 8000 to 12000 (squamous cell carcinoma) and visibility of the same (minimum 25% of squamous cell overlapping).(8)

LIQUID-BASED CERVICAL CYTOLOGY

Liquid based cytology unlike the conventional extended is not performed in the initial phase, but is transferred the material (sample) to the fixative or preservative, which increases the cytologic detection of squamous intraepithelial lesions and reduce the number of extended unsatisfactory; the sensitivity in liquid base for  high-grade intraepithelial lesions (LIEA),[[5]](#footnote-5) low-grade intraepithelial lesions (LIEB) and Atypical cells of undetermined significance (ASCUS), and it was found 57.1%; 79.1% and 90.4%, respectively, with respect to the conventional, with 55.2%, 75.6% and 88.2%, without statistical differences in sensitivity and specificity for cervical neoplasia grade 2, between the conventional technique and the liquid base.[[6]](#footnote-6)[[7]](#footnote-7) (9)

The procedure is to remove the vial the brushes, the liquid is poured into a test tube and centrifuged for 5 minutes 1,500 RPM; excess is removed leaving the sediment, take the brackets for the slides and placed[[8]](#footnote-8) a porta above (identified with a secret code), a filter that has a hole in the center, a kind of funnel and a ring that is turned to hold the other. With an automatic pipette a drop of sediment in the slide through the funnel mentioned before. This support with the slide, the filter and the drop of sediment are placed in a closed container and given 5 minutes of time to 1,500 revolutions per minute. At the end of the centrifugation are removed and disassembled the supports of the packages to be removed from the filter and is fixed; stained each slide with the conventional technique, with hematoxylin-eosin staining as described above for the cytology Pap test and is ready for observation under the microscope, as explained in the following picture.(10)

Royalty 2. Process of liquid-based cytology.

Source. Own elaboration, photos taken of Http://www.laboratoriopatologia.com/services-list/radiology/

VISUAL INSPECTION WITH ACETIC ACID 5% and  LUGOL'S SOLUTION TO THE 10%

The visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) implies a direct revision of the cervix under bright light (preferably a halogen bulb lamp) minute after application of a 5% acetic acid diluted acid. This technique is being used as a screening test for cervical cancer in many low- and middle-income countries. The test is simple, feasible and affordable. Allows immediate results for the diagnosis and/or treatment to HIV-positive women.  With a  sensitivity of 30 to 87% and a specificity of 86-100%. (11)

The acetic acid 5% causes a reversible coagulation or precipitation of the cellular proteins. Also causes swelling of the epithelial tissue, in particular of the columnar epithelium and from any area of the squamous epithelium. It also causes dehydration of the cells and helps to coagulate and clear the mucus in the cervix. The normal squamous epithelium is pink and the columnar epithelium is red, due to the reflection of the light of the underlying stroma highly vascularized. If the epithelium contains a lot of cellular proteins, acetic acid coagulates these proteins that can obscure the color of the stroma. This acetowhite reaction produces a noticeable effect that contrasts with the pinkish color of the surrounding normal squamous epithelium, an effect that is commonly distinguished with the naked eye. The effect of acetic acid depends upon the amount of cellular proteins present in the epithelium. The areas in which there is a nuclear activity and DNA content in[[9]](#footnote-9) high show changes more intense white color. When acetic acid is applied to normal squamous epithelium,

 Little coagulation occurs in the superficial layer of cells, where the nuclei are scarce.

Though the deeper cells contain more nuclear protein, the acetic acid does not penetrate sufficiently and, hence, the resulting precipitation does not overshadow the color of the underlying stroma. The CIN[[10]](#footnote-10) and invasive cancer undergo maximal coagulation due to their higher content of nuclear protein (in view of the large number of undifferentiated cells contained in the epithelium) and prevent light from passing through the epithelium. As a result, the subepithelial vascular pattern is hidden and the epithelium takes a dense white color. In the event of a NIC, the acetowhitening  is restricted to the transformation zone close to the squamocolumnar junction , while in the case of a cancer, this reaction often involves the entire cervix, as shown in the picture 3. (12)

The inspection with Lugol's solution to the 10% is a  direct screening test which has a sensitivity of 87.2% and a specificity of 84.7%. The metaplastic squamous epithelium contains glycogen while CIN and invasive cancer contain little or no glycogen. The columnar epithelium does not contain glycogen, as well as the immature squamous metaplastic epithelium or, occasionally, you can hold it in low amounts. For its part, the iodine is glucofilico and, consequently, the application of an iodine solution gives rise to the iodine uptake by the epithelia that contain glycogen. Thus, the normal squamous epithelium, which if it contains glycogen, stained mahogany brown or black after the lugolizacion. In contrast, the columnar epithelium does not capture the iodine and not stained, and even may appear slightly discolored due to a thin layer of Lugol's solution. Similarly, the areas of immature squamous metaplastic epithelium may not stain with iodine or dye in a partial way. If there is scaling (or erosion) of superficial and intermediate cell layers as a result of inflammatory conditions of the squamous epithelium, these areas do not stain with iodine and remain colorless clearly against a black background, or mahogany. Areas of CIN and invasive cancer do not take up iodine (as they lack glycogen) and appear as thick mustard yellow or saffron. Areas with leukoplakia (hyperkeratosis) do not stain with iodine. As for the condylomas can, at times, partially stained with iodine, as can be seen in the image 4. (13)

Royalty 3. Clinical Reference Chart for visual inspection after application of acetic acid.

[[11]](#footnote-11)

Source: R. Sankaranarayanan, Ramani S. Wesley. Manual for the screening of cervical neoplasia (Technical Report 41)

Royalty 4. Clinical Reference Chart for Visual Inspection after applying the yodoyodurada of Lugol's solution.

[[12]](#footnote-12)

Source: R. Sankaranarayanan, Ramani S. Wesley. Manual for the screening of cervical neoplasia (Technical Report 41).

 CERVICAL COLPOSCOPY

The colposcopy is a procedure by which displays the epithelium and the plot sub epithelial cancer of the cervix, a colposcope with amplifications from 7.5 X 30, 15X and X, contributes to the diagnosis, location, extent of injury and histologic features intraepithelial lesions. With a sensitivity of 83% of the colposcopy and specificity of 86%.(14)

The colposcopy is the gold standard to diagnose and guide the treatment of high-grade cervical neoplasia, but on the other hand, malfunctioning, without standards in education, training and practice of colposcopy. (15)

The colposcopy should be done only when lesions are present for cytological confirmation or reaction of polymerase chain reaction. The colposcopic findings may vary depending on the types of HPV. In particular, work-related injuries the type 16 are more definitive and larger than other types. [[13]](#footnote-13)(16)


HYBRID CAPTURE II AND III

The monocatenario nucleic acid molecules that are complementary to form hybrids in appropriate conditions. The evidence of hybridization are based on this phenomenon and used marked probe molecules to detect specific complementary target molecules.

The nucleic acid hybridization III is the most sensitive method to detect HPV in clinical samples, and the only one able to identify specific types of HPV, detects the DNA[[14]](#footnote-14) of 14 high-risk types of HPV genotype HPV16 / 18 [[15]](#footnote-15)(17); While hybridization II HC2 allows the detection of 13 HPV genotypes (HPV 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59 and 68) by hybridization with probes of RNA[[16]](#footnote-16) and amplification of the signal. (18) The hybridization III has a sensitivity of 87.7% to 96.9% and specificity of 90.6% to 91.7% (18); while the hybridization II has a sensitivity of 96% and specificity of 66.7%.(19)

The procedure involves taking a sample with cervical brush which is placed in the road; the samples were denatured manually before the analysis of hybridization II in the rapid capture automated or manually. Hybridized with viral particles and the detection and reading; as can be seen in the image 5.

Royalty 5. Process of hybridization.



Source: Obtained from <http://www.inmunolab.com/attachments/38/LBcaptura_hibrida___qiagen.pdf>

POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION

Amplification of the viral genome by PCR method[[17]](#footnote-17) to discriminate the type of HPV, able to detect between 10 and 200 copies of the viral genome per sample. Detect the[[18]](#footnote-18) target DNA by using oligonucleotide primers that complement in a specific way with the flanking regions of DNA to amplify gene L1. The state of latency affects the sensitivity of the PCR, especially if the sample was taken from an area where the carriers are scarce or absent. (20) This may explain why samples negative by PCR. (21)Has demonstrated better sensitivity (between 83.9% and 100%) that the cytology for the detection of HPV, but with a lower specificity (64.1% to 95.1%). (22)

The sample is taken with endocervical swab, the sample is placed in a viral way, using primers directed against the high risk human papilloma virus (16, 18 is reported and high-risk (total 27); viral DNA is extracted and purified; there is a polymerase chain reaction with the DNA extracted, (23)They were separated and detected in terms of their size through the use of capillary electrophoresis. (24)

The high-risk viruses are high risk (26, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52.53, 56, 58, 59, 66, 68, 73, 82). (25)

CONCLUSIONS

Throughout the history of cervical cancer screening have been refined and created new tools for the timely detection of human papilloma virus, cervical cancer and injuries; according to this review, we can say that for the detection of HPV the best tool today is PCR due to its high sensitivity and specificity as well as to allow a greater number of viruses detected, as can be seen in table number 1; with regard to the detection of cervical lesions the best tool is the liquid-based cytology because it provides a greater sensitivity and specificity compared to conventional, however the European Union in accordance To the revisions made determined that the gold standard is the colposcopy; however this presents lower sensitivity and specificity with a 83 and 84 per cent in comparison to the conventional or liquid cytology; with regard to the inspection with acetic acid and Lugol's iodine are good tools with good sensitivity and specificity but allow a late detection because the process of malignant transformation would be advanced to positive evidence.

Each country will take the most powerful tool for your own screening since not all are accessible and are dependent on the economy of the country.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table I. Summary of screening tests for cervical cancer and human papillomavirus | | | | |
| Test | Detects | Use | Sensitivity | Specificity |
| Conventional cytology / Pap | Abnormal cells | Possible cervical lesions | 32.4 to 90% | 94% |
| Liquid-based cytology | Abnormal cells | Possible cervical lesions | 79.1% to 90.4% | NA |
| Visual inspection of the acetic acid | Cervical lesions visible | Possible cervical lesions | 30 to 87% | 86 to 100% |
| Visual inspection Lugo | Cervical lesions visible | Possible cervical lesions | 87.2% | 84.7%. |
| Colposcopy | Cervical Neoplasia | Cervical lesions | 83% | 86% |
| Hybrid II | Hpv | Hpv detection of high and low risk (13 types) | 96% | 66.7% |
| Hybrid III | Hpv | Hpv detection of high and low risk (13 types) | 87.7 to 96.9% | 90.6% |
| PCR | Hpv | Hpv detection of high and low risk (27 types) | 83.9 to 100% | 64.1 to 95.1% |

Source: own elaboration, data obtained from the references consulted.

# References

X

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. | Secretariat of Health. Specific Action Program for the Prevention and Control of Cancer of Women 2013-2018. Program. Mexico: Secretary of Health. |
| 2. | Olvera M. Prevalence of cervical uterine cancer, premalignant and. Gazette Hidalguense Health Research. 2015; 8(2). |
| 3. | Herrera y History of the evolution of cervical cancer screening. Rev Med Inst Mex Insurance Soc. 2015; 53(6). |
| 4. | Gutiérrez R. Usefulness of molecular techniques for detection of HPV in the prevecion and control of cervical cancer. AMATGI. 2011; III(5). |
| 5. | Ramos G. Cervical cytology satisfactory, extended ectocervical circular compared with longitudinal. Rev Mex Inst Mex Insurance Soc. 2014; 52(6). |
| 6. | Carrascal M. diagnostic correlation of cervical cytology versus colposcopy in premalignant lesions of cervical cancer. IPS University in Barranquilla. 2013; 9(1). |
| 7. | Sánchez M. diagnostic certainty of the colposcopy, cytology and histology of the intraepithelial lesions of the cervix. Rev Invest Med Southern Mex. 2013; 20(2). |
| 8. | Secretariat of Health. Modification to the Official Mexican Norm NOM-014-SSA2-1994, for the prevention, detection, diagnosis, treatment, control and surveillance of cervical cancer. Official Mexican Standard. Mexico : Secretariat of Health. |
| 9. | Contreras R. Pap smear and liquid-based cytology in the diagnosis of cancer of the cervix. Civil Hospital of Maracay. Community and Health. 2015; 13(1). |
| 10. | Port of Amaya M. conventional cytology and liquid based on shared sample of cervical neoplasia. Repert Med Cir. 2015; 24(1). |
| 11. | Mittal S. Visual inspection with acetic as a screening test for cervical cancer. Biomed Res. 2013; 1(1). |
| 12. | R S. Analysis and recording of the results of visual inspection with acetic acid (5% VAT). In R S. Practical Manual for visual detection of cervical neoplasia. Lyon, France, 2005. p. 50. |
| 13. | Sankaranarayanan R. Early detection of cervical cancer with visual inspection methods: a summary of completed and on-going studies in India. Bull World Health Organ. 2003; 45(3). |
| 14. | Gonzalez G. Degree of effectiveness and correlation of cytology-colposcopy used as diagnostic tests in a health institution of Santa Marta. DUAZARY. 2013; 10(2). |
| 15. | Ulrich K. 2017 update of the European Federation for Colposcopy (EFC) performance standards fot the practice of colposcopy. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reprouctive Biology. 2018; 224. |
| 16. | Nam K. Colposcopy at a turning point. Med Sci. 2018; 61(1). |
| 17. | Zhao X. The performance of human papillomavirus DNA detection with type 16/18 genotyping by hybrid capture in primary test of cervical cancer screening: a cross-sectional study in 10.669 Chinese women. Clinical Microbiology and Infection xxx. 2018. |
| 18. | Lactobellis M. Clinical validation of Realquality RQ-HPV screen according to the international guidelines for human papillomavirus DNA test requirements for cervical screening. Virology Journal. 2018; 15(48). |
| 19. | Gutierrez L. Molecular diagnosis of human papillomavirus in the development of cervical cancer. Public Health in Mexico. 2009; 51. |
| 20. | Moller D. Clinical and analytical performance of the BD Onclarity HPV assay for detection of CIN2 lesions on SurePath samples. Papillomavirus research. ; 2. |
| 21. | Astudillo O. molecular diagnosis of human papilloma virus. Panorama Tow Doctor. 2014; 8(1). |
| 22. | Sanoja L. Detection and characterization of the human papilloma virus by polymerase chain reaction in cervical samples of students. Community and Health. 2013; 11(2). |
| 23. | Sharafadeen K. Prevalence and risk factors for genital hish-risk human papillomavirus infection among women attendin the outpatient clinics of university teaching hospital in Lagos, Nigeria. Pan African Medical Journal. 2017; 28. |
| 24. | Beyazit F. The prevalence of human papillomavirus (HPV) genotypes detected by PCR in women hit normal and abnormal cervico-vaginal cytology. Community and Health. 2018; 11(2). |
| 25. | Aleksioska I. Prevalence of specific types of human papilloma virus in cervical intraepithelial lesions and cervical cancer in Macedonianan women. Med Arch. 2018; 71(1). |

X

1. CCU, cervical cancer.  [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. HPV, human papilloma virus. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. NOM, Mexican Official Standard. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. SSA, Secretariat of Health.  [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. LIEA,  high-grade intraepithelial lesions.  [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. LIEB, intraepitelilaes of low-grade lesions [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. ASCUS, atypical cells of undetermined significance. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. RPM revolutions per minute. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. NIC,  cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
11. VAT, visual inspection after application of acetic acid.  [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
12. IVL, visual inspection after applying the yodoyodurada of Lugol's solution. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
13. Hpv, human papilloma virus. [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
14. Dna, deoxyribonucleic acid. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
15. HPV, human papilloma virus. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
16. Rna, ribonucleic acid. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
17. PCR Polymerase chain reaction.  [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
18. Dna, deoxyribonucleic acid. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)